

UNITED STATER DEPARTMENT OF CEMMENCE United States Patent and Tradentick Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.U. SO: 1450

P.U. Hor 1430 Alexandra, Vargoria 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

47888 7590 02209/2012
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN, P.C.
1230 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
7th floor
NEW YORK, NY 10020

EXAMINER.					
SASAN,	SASAN, ARADHANA				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
1615					

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2012

and the second s					
	APPLICATION NO.	PILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	725 4 1004-1-174-1-1-4-1	1100-0 07711	112:14:112	AND ADDRESS TO CONTRACT TO A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
	10/508.315	04/08/21308	1 Attention Solomon	1372_095	2612

TITLE OF INVENTION: PHARMACEUTICAL TABLETS COMPRISING TWO OR MORE UNITARY SEGMENTS

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL HNTITY	ISSUE PERE DUR	PUBLICATION FEE DUB	PREV. PAID ISSUE PEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUB
lendalyonnon	YES	\$870	\$300	\$0	\$1170	05/09/2012

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. <u>PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED</u>. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ESUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ESUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENITTY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENITTY status:

- A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.
- B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B Fcc(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

- A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or
- B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B Peo(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.
- II. PART B PEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FHE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filled, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.
- III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE PEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patenter's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.



Docket No.: ABT-035

Group Art Unit: 1615

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Lawrence Solomon, et al.

Serial No.: 10/598,315

Filed: April 8, 2008 Examiner: Sasan, A.

For: SCORED PHARMACEUTICAL TABLETS COMPRISING A PLURALITY

OF SEGMENTS

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

This is in response to the Reasons for Allowance and Examiner's Amendment (copies attached) issued in the subject application. The Examiner's Amendments to claims 43-45 and 50 are accurate and accepted; however, the Examiner's Amendments to claims 48 and 49 are incorrect. The Examiner's Amendments should reflect that term "first" segment is substituted with the term "top inactive non-unitary" segment, as agreed in the telephonic interview conducted 18 January 2012 (see Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary issued with the Notice of Allowance, copies attached).

Specifically, claims 48 and 49 should be correctly amended as follows:

Claim 48 (currently amended). A method of breaking a pharmaceutical tablet as defined in claim 34 wherein said tablet is broken by applying force to said first and said second unitary segments to cause the tablet to break through said first top inactive unitary segment.

Mar. 1. 2012 3:27PM No.1938 P. 7

Docket No.: ABT-035

Claim 49 (currently amended). A method of breaking a tablet as in claim 48

that comprises first breaking said tablet through said first top inactive unitary

segment to obtain a tablette that contains part of said first top inactive unitary

segment plus unitary segments and thereafter breaking said tablet between said first

and said second unitary segments so that the tablet breaks substantially completely

within said first top inactive unitary segment.

In the Examiner's Amendment provided with the Notice of Allowance, claims 48 and 49

were incorrectly amended. In a telephonic interview conducted 18 January 2012 (see

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary issued with the Notice of Allowance) it was agreed

to replace the term "first segment" with the term "top inactive non-unitary segment."

However, claim 48 was shown to incorrectly replace the phrase "first and second unitary

segments" with the phrase "top inactive non-unitary segment," Applicants respectfully

request reconsideration and correction of the Examiner's Amendment consistent with the

claim 48 amendment shown above.

Regarding claim 49, the term "first segment" was correctly replaced with the term "top

inactive non-unitary segment" in the first instance. However, the term "first segment is

recited three times within that claim. Accordingly, the Examiner's Amendment should

reflect the agreed-upon replacement in each instance. Applicants therefore respectfully

request reconsideration and correction of the Examiner's Amendment consistent with the

claim 49 amendment shown above.

Applicants believe the subject claims 48 and 49, as shown above, are correctly amended

and consistent with the amendments agreed to in the telephonic interview conducted 18

January 2012. Applicants respectfully request that the issued claims reflect these

corrections.

)

Docket No.: ABT-035

Should further information or clarification be required on any of these matters, applicants invite the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the address or phone/fax number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 29 February 2012

Ted W. Whitlock Registration No. 36,965 5323 SW 38th Avenue Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33312

Ph: 954-986-2119 Fax: 954-986-2120

Mai . 1 . 2012
OPAR
/
MAR 0 1 2012
(Z012 y)
WANDEMANNO!
PADEMAN

anti-ON	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Summer to History Indiana Summer	10/598,315	SOLOMON ET AL.
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unik
•	ARADHANA SASAN	1815
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
1) <u>ARADHANA SASAN</u> .	(3)	
2) <u>TËD WHITLOCK</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 January 2012		
Type: Telephonic Uldeo Conference Personal (copy given to: Dapplicant	applicant's representativ	e)
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.	
ssues Discussed 101 112 112 103 20th For each of the checked hox(on) above, please describe helow the instee and det		
laim(s) discussed: <u>43-45 and 48-50</u> .		
dentification of prior art discussed:	•	
tubetance of Interview for each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreeme	ont was coached. Some tonics may include	tar idamilitaraism on ekspiritumsium oll u
		ic; intermitation of Chinaerical of A
ference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, argu- The Exemploer contracted Applicant's representative to info- lectaration (filed 01/09/12) the case was in condition for a examiner's amendment to correct the dependency of claim nactive non-unitary seament" in claims 48-50. Applicant's	ments of any applied references etc) orm him that after reviewing the Howance. The Examiner also his 43-45 and to replace the p	he amendments, remarks and requested approval for an hrase "first segment" with "for
ference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of the Exemplear contacted Applicant's representative to information (filed 01/09/12) the case was in condition for a examiner's amendment to correct the dependency of claim active non-unitary seament" in claims 48-50. Applicant's	ments of any applied references etc) orm him that after reviewing the Howance. The Examiner also his 43-45 and to replace the p	he amendments, remarks and requested approval for an hrase "first segment" with "for
ference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of the Exemplear contacted Applicant's representative to information (filed 01/09/12) the case was in condition for a examiner's amendment to correct the dependency of claim active non-unitary seament" in claims 48-50. Applicant's	ments of any applied references etc) orm him that after reviewing the Howance. The Examiner also his 43-45 and to replace the p	he amendments, remarks and requested approval for an hrase "first segment" with "for
ference or a partion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of the Exemplear contracted Applicant's representative to information (filed 01/09/12) the case was in condition for a examiner's amendment to correct the dependency of claim active non-unitary seament" in claims 48-50. Applicant's	ments of any applied references etc) orm him that after reviewing the Howance. The Examiner also his 43-45 and to replace the p	he amendments, remarks and requested approval for an hrase "first segment" with "for
ference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of the Exemplear contacted Applicant's representative to information (filed 01/09/12) the case was in condition for a examiner's amendment to correct the dependency of claim active non-unitary seament" in claims 48-50. Applicant's	ments of any applied references etc) orm him that after reviewing the Howance. The Examiner also his 43-45 and to replace the p	he amendments, remarks and requested approval for an hrase "first segment" with "for
ference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of the Exemplear contacted Applicant's representative to information (filed 01/09/12) the case was in condition for a examiner's amendment to correct the dependency of claim active non-unitary seament" in claims 48-50. Applicant's	ments of any applied references etc) orm him that after reviewing the Howance. The Examiner also his 43-45 and to replace the p	he amendments, remarks and requested approval for an hrase "first segment" with "for
ference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of the Exemplear contacted Applicant's representative to information (filed 01/09/12) the case was in condition for a examiner's amendment to correct the dependency of claim active non-unitary seament" in claims 48-50. Applicant's	ments of any applied references etc) orm him that after reviewing the Howance. The Examiner also his 43-45 and to replace the p	he amendments, remarks and requested approval for an hrase "first segment" with "for
ference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments. The Examiner contacted Applicant's representative to info eclaration (filed 01/09/12) the case was in condition for a examiner's amendment to correct the dependency of claim active non-unitary seament" in claims 48-50. Applicant's mendment.	ments of any applied references cham) orm him that after reviewing to likewance. The Examiner also as 43-45 and to replace the prepared to the	he emendments, remarks and requested approval for an hrase "first segment" with "for corrections by Examiner's
The Examiner contacted Applicant's representative to info eclaration (filed 01/09/12) the case was in condition for a examiner's amendment to correct the dependency of claim active non-unitary seament" in claims 48-50. Applicant's mendment.	permits of any applied references com.) permitting that after reviewing the likewance. The Examiner also as 43-45 and to replace the propercies and to replace the propercies and the provide a separate record of the substance of any interview of record. A 3.04 for complete and proper cooled of any other perference of any other perference.	he emendments, remarks and requested approval for an chrase "first segment" with "for corrections by Examiner's extense of interview. A complete and proper recordation of the standard regarding perentability and the
philoant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to summarize the summer recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to summer recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to summer recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to summer recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the summarize of an interview should include the items itsned in MPEP 71 energial frequency of our present recordation of the interview, to include an indication as to attachment.	permits of any applied references com.) permitting that after reviewing the likewance. The Examiner also as 43-45 and to replace the propercies and to replace the propercies and the provide a separate record of the substance of any interview of record. A 3.04 for complete and proper cooled of any other perference of any other perference.	he emendments, remarks and requested approval for an chrase "first segment" with "for corrections by Examiner's extense of interview. A complete and proper recordation of the standard regarding perentability and the

OPAR			
MAR 0 1 2012			
Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Apolicant(e)	
Notice of Allowability	10/598,315	SOLOMON ET AL.	
- Notice of Abjoindantly	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ARADHANA SASAN	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERRI herewith (or previously malled), a Notice of Allowance (PTO NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATE of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR	'S IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED L-85) or other appropriate comm NT RIGHTS. This application is	in this application. If not included nunication will be mailed in due course. THIS	
1. A This communication is responsive to the amendment.	remarks and declaration filed of	01/09/2012	
2. An election was made by the applicant in response to requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.		th during the interview on; the restriction	
3. The allowed claim(s) is/are 34-51.			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) o	r (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applical	ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receiv	ed in this national stage application from the	
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DA noted below. Fallure to timely comply will result in ABAND THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		le a reply complying with the requirements	
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be si INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which			
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") (a) ☐ Including changes required by the Notice of Drafts 		w (PTO-948) attached	
1) [] hereto or 2) [] to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) [] including changes required by the attached Exam Paper No./Mail Date	iner's Amendment / Comment o	or in the Office action of	
identifying indicts such as the application number (see 37 C each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such	h in the kender according to 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMEN 			
Attachment(a)	E IT blottes of t	nformal Patent Application	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Divide of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 		Summary (PTO-413),	
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	Paper No	Mail Date <u>20120118</u> . Amendment/Comment	
Paper No./Mall Date 06/01/2007 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Depo	sit 8. 🔀 Examiner:	Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
of Blological Material	9. 🔲 Other		
/Aradhana Sasan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615			
U.S. Patent and Traderisati Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 03-11)	Notice of Allowability	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20120118	

Application/Control Number: 10/598,315

Art Unit: 1615

Page 2

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Ted Whitlock on January 18, 2012.

- The application has been amended as follows:
- Claims 43-45 should be dependent on claim 34, and not 44.
 - a. Claim 43 (new). A pharmaceutical tablet as defined in claim 44 34 in which said drug is warfarin.
 - b. Claim 44 (new). A pharmaceutical tablet as defined in claim 44 34 in which said drug is digoxin.
 - c. Claim 45 (new). A pharmaceutical tablet as defined in claim 44 34 in which said drug is levothyroxine.
- Claims 48-50 should be amended as follows:
 - d. Claim 48 (new). A method of breaking a pharmaceutical tablet as defined in claim 34 wherein said tablet is broken by applying force to said first and said second unitary segments top inactive non-unitary segment to cause the tablet to break through said first segment.
 - e. Claim 49 (new). A method of breaking a tablet as in claim 48 that comprises first breaking said tablet through said first segment top inactive non-unitary

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/598,315

315

Art Unit: 1615

teach tamping of an active-containing layer that has a level top surface on which an inactive non-unitary segment is deposited. Hess does not teach breaking the tablet through the top inactive non-unitary segment.

- iii. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 by Dr. David P. Beach (filed 01/09/12) has been fully considered and was persuasive. The declarant states that the process of manufacturing the tablets according to Hess is carried out without a tamping step applied to the first layer, and that without tamping the first layer before depositing the second composition, the first layer will not have a uniform (or level) surface to interface with the second composition. The declarant provided a comparison of a bi-layer tablet with an embossed upper (top) punch (FIG. 1) and a bi-layer tablet scored with embossed lower (bottom) punch (FIG. 2 instant invention) which shows that when an embossed bottom tablet punch is used, a straight-line, planar interface of the layers results, and there is no "push effect" or mingling of the active layer into the inactive layer, or vice versa.
- iv. A further prior art search did not disclose a reference that teaches the specific arrangement of the compressed, layered pharmaceutical tablet as recited in instant claims.

Therefore, the claimed invention is novel and unobvious over the prior art of record.

Comments

4. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

Application/Control Number: 10/598,315

Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

teach tamping of an active-containing layer that has a level top surface on which an inactive non-unitary segment is deposited. Hess does not teach breaking the tablet through the top inactive non-unitary segment.

- iii. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 by Dr. David P. Beach (filed 01/09/12) has been fully considered and was persuasive. The declarant states that the process of manufacturing the tablets according to Hess is carried out without a tamping step applied to the first layer, and that without tamping the first layer before depositing the second composition, the first layer will not have a uniform (or level) surface to interface with the second composition. The declarant provided a comparison of a bi-layer tablet with an embossed upper (top) punch (FIG. 1) and a bi-layer tablet scored with embossed lower (bottom) punch (FIG. 2 instant invention) which shows that when an embossed bottom tablet punch is used, a straight-line, planar interface of the layers results, and there is no "push effect" or mingling of the active layer into the inactive layer, or vice versa.
- iv. A further prior art search did not disclose a reference that teaches the specific arrangement of the compressed, layered pharmaceutical tablet as recited in instant claims.

Therefore, the claimed invention is novel and unobvious over the prior art of record.

Comments

 Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably Application/Control Number: 10/598,315

Art Unit: 1615

Page 5

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

- Claims 34-51 are allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aradhana Sasan whose telephone number is (571) 272-9022. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert A. Wax, can be reached at 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aradhana Sasan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615 /Robert A. Wax/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1615